L.O. Use contrasting conjunctions to argue a point of view.

A quick recap: discuss with your partner all the reasons you remember why each of the characters was to blame for the death of Bess.

- The Highwayman
- Bess
- <u>Tim the ostler</u>
- King George's Men





As we saw after yesterday's character analysis, it's not a clear-cut decision when deciding who is to blame for her death.

However, today you are going to act as lawyers for the prosecution in a court of law.

You will need to decide who is most at fault and then you will argue your case against them. There is unfortunately (as you now know) plenty of contrasting evidence that could weaken your case.

So let's look at ways to deal with this problem.

When we argue a point, it is very easy to just list all the evidence for our point of view.

E.g. Children shouldn't have access to social media because of cyber-bullying and problems with Internet safety.

However, it's just as easy for someone to then list some reasons against this.

E.g. Social media is great for children to keep in touch outside of school.

So now we have to start over again and think of something else to say.

It can actually be more effective to mention evidence against our argument first and then <u>counteract</u> it with a point that backs up what we believe in.

For example: Some people believe that social media is great for children to keep in touch outside of school. However, cyber-bullying and problems with Internet safety are making many parents very concerned that it is harming their children.

So now, someone can't use the 'keep in touch outside of school' point to argue back - they have to think of something else!

What kind of conjunction was used to do this?

Look at how the contrasting conjunctions have been used on the following page. Also, can you spot which clauses have been written in the passive form?

The **passive** form uses the verb 'to be' as an auxiliary verb, and suggests that the first noun or pronoun in the sentence has no choice about what is being done.

e.g. The bone was eaten by the dog.

He <u>is teased</u> by his brother.

It could be said that... However...

It <u>could be said that</u> women are the best at cooking. <u>However</u>, some of the World's top chefs are men.

It has been claimed that... Nevertheless...

<u>It has been claimed that</u> dogs make great pets. <u>Nevertheless</u>, cats can be very loyal to their owners.

It has been reported that... Despite this...

<u>It has been reported that</u> teenagers cause a lot of trouble. <u>Despite</u> this, we know that many young people raise a lot of money for charity.

It is well known that... On the other hand...

It is well known that eating chocolate makes us feel good. On the other hand, consuming too much is unhealthy and can lead to obesity.

Now look at the facts that support your view, as well as the contrasting evidence. Work with a partner to think of some contrasting pairs of sentences. Remember that the second sentence is the one that you are using to make your point.

Share your ideas with the class - can they easily tell who you think is to blame?

It could be said that... However...

It has been claimed that... Nevertheless...

It has been reported in the press that... Despite this...

It is well known that... On the other hand...



You will now take on the role of prosecuting lawyer.

It is your job to persuade The Judge and the jury who is really to blame for the death of the landlord's daughter.

Remember - this is a court of law, and so you must use a very formal style

when you write your closing speech.

Example on the next page...

L.O. Use contrasting conjunctions to argue a point of view.

(What if it was the Third Little Pig who was standing trial for the attempted murder of the wolf....?)

Your Honour, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury,

I firmly believe that the defendant, Chris P. Bacon, is a despicable criminal, who has committed the worst of crimes. It is my intention to clearly reveal just how terrible and dangerous this individual really is.

It has been reported that Mr Wolf (the injured party) had intended to eat the Third Pig for his dinner and was climbing down the chimney of the brick house in order to do so. However, CCTV footage clearly shows that the wolf politely knocked several times on the front door, before being forced to enter the house by the only other way in. In addition, the chimney had not been swept in years, and so was full of soot. Consequently, the unfortunate wolf emerged covered from head to toe in black dust, sneezing and puffing as he did so.